UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/826,149	04/16/2004	Michael A. Spohn	CV/04-002	8770
21140 GREGORY L I	7590 11/14/200 BRADLEY	EXAMINER		
MEDRAD INC		GILBERT, ANDREW M		
ONE MEDRAD DRIVE INDIANOLA, PA 15051			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3767	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			11/14/2008	PAPER

# Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/826,149	SPOHN ET AL.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	ANDREW M. GILBERT	3767
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period.  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from e, cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. mely filed I the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>03 S</u> This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This 3)□ Since this application is in condition for allowed closed in accordance with the practice under	s action is non-final. ance except for formal matters, pro	
Disposition of Claims		
4)	awn from consideration.	
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examin	or	
10) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examination 10. ☐ The drawing(s) filed on 16 April 2004 is/are: a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11. ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examination 11.	a) accepted or b) objected to edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. Section is required if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ejected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	nts have been received. Its have been received in Applicat Pority documents have been receiv Bu (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	ate

Application/Control Number: 10/826,149 Page 2

Art Unit: 3767

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 9/3/2008 has been entered.

## Acknowledgments

- 1. This office action is in response to the reply filed on 9/3/2008.
- 2. In the reply the Applicant cancelled claims 27, 40, 41, 57, 59, and 74 and amended claims 42 and 60.
- 3. Thus, claims 42, 55-56, 58, 60, 70-73, 75 are pending for examination.

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 4. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 5. Claims 42, 57-60, 72-75 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trombley, III et al (6096011) in view of Morimoto et al (6224568) in further view of Genese (4243031). Trombley, III et al discloses an injector system comprising: a source of injection fluid (505); a pump device (350); a fluid path set (Fig.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,149

Art Unit: 3767

6A-B) disposed between the source of injection fluid and the pump device, and comprising a first section (420) and a second section (510); and at least one connector (Fig 2-5) providing the removable fluid communication between the first section and the second section, the connector comprising: a first connector member (155) associated with one of the first section and the second section and comprising an outer housing (172) and a first threaded member (172) disposed in the outer housing; and a second connector member (175) associated with the other of the first section and the second section and comprising a second threaded member (192); wherein the first threaded member and second threaded member cooperate to securely and releasably connect the first member to the second member to establish the removable fluid communication between the first section and the second section (Figs 1-6b; col 5, Ins 38-45), and wherein the second threaded member is received in the outer housing of the first connector member when the first connector member is connected to the second connector member (Fig 5); as to claims 57-59 and 73-75, see (Fig 6A, B; wherein the drip chamber has a projection, or spike 520; 400; col 6, lns 18-34; and 194 and proximal edge portion of 155 (ie corners closest to reference number 166) in Fig 4).

Page 3

- 6. However, Trombley, III et al does not expressly disclosing a connector having a first connector member having an first threaded member separated therefrom by an annular cavity.
- 7. Morimoto et al teaches that it is known to have a connector (13) having a first connector member (41) having a first threaded member (41, 43) separated therefrom by an annular cavity (41) for the purpose of providing a shield between the sealing

Application/Control Number: 10/826,149

Art Unit: 3767

members (14, 41) of first and second connectors and the external environment helping to maintain sterility during storage or use. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the first connector as taught by Trombley, III et al with the first connector being separated therefrom by an annular cavity as taught by Morimoto et al for the purpose of providing a shield between the sealing members (14, 41) of first and second connectors and the external environment helping to maintain sterility during storage or use. Also, see discussion of Morimoto et al in Final Rejection mailed on 6/13/2008.

Page 4

- 8. However, Trombley, III et al and Morimoto et al do not expressly disclose a second section having a pressure isolation mechanism having a lumen, a pressure isolation port, and a valve member biased to a normally open position permitting fluid communication between the lumen and the pressure isolation port and movable to a closed position when the fluid pressure in the lumen reaches a predetermined pressure level sufficient to overcome the biasing force of the biasing portion of the valve member.
- 9. Genese teaches that it is known to have a pressure isolation mechanism having a lumen, a pressure isolation port, and a valve member biased to a normally open position permitting fluid communication between the lumen and the pressure isolation port and movable to a closed position when the fluid pressure in the lumen reaches a predetermined pressure level sufficient to overcome the biasing force of the biasing portion of the valve member (Fig 4-5) for the purpose of having a pressure activated shut-off device for I.V. fluid administration to prevent damage to the device and patient in the advent of high pressure. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill

Application/Control Number: 10/826,149 Page 5

Art Unit: 3767

in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the second section as taught by Trombley, III et al and Morimoto et al with the pressure isolation mechanism as taught by Genese for the purpose of having a pressure activated shut-off device for I.V. fluid administration to prevent damage to the device and patient in the advent of high pressure.

10. Claims 55-56, and 70-71 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Trombley, III et al in view of Morimoto et al in further view of Genese in final view of Raines et al (561268). Trombley, III et al and Morimoto et al disclose the invention substantially as claimed except for expressly disclosing a cap having a groove associated with a raised rib of at least one of the first and second connector members. Raines et al teaches that it is known to have a cap with a groove (21, 66, 16) for a connector member for the purpose of protecting the infusion port and connector prior to use. It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the device as taught by Trombley, III et al and Morimoto et al with the protective cap as taught by Raines et al for the purpose of protecting the infusion port and connector prior to use.

## Response to Arguments

1. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 42, 55-56, 58, 60, 70-73, 75 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Application/Control Number: 10/826,149 Page 6

Art Unit: 3767

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANDREW M. GILBERT whose telephone number is (571)272-7216. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 am to 5:00 pm Monday through Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Kevin Sirmons can be reached on (571)272-4965. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Andrew M Gilbert/
Examiner, Art Unit 3767
/Kevin C. Sirmons/
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3767